Quantum Compute-Enabled Wireless Networks

Cosener's Multi-Service Networks Workshop 5th July, 2019 **Kyle Jamieson**

Shift to Computational Problems in support of Wireless Networks

 For key subsystems, best performance is highly computeintensive and under tight time constraints

 Therefore: Shift from pure wireless → best computational structures to support wireless

 Requires: Systems, Computer Architecture, and Wireless Communications Co-Design

Massive MIMO Detection



 Linear Detectors offer low complexity, but highly suboptimal throughput:

 $\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{H}\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{w}$

 Maximum Likelihood (ML) Detectors maximize throughput, but are computationally complex, have a sequential tree search structure

Data rate:	BPSK	QPSK	16-QAM	Complexity (Visited Nodes)
Users × Base	12 imes 12	7×7	4×4	≈ 40 (feasible)
Station Antennas:	21 imes 21	11×11	6×6	≈ 270 (borderline)
	30 imes 30	15 imes 15	8 × 8	\approx 1,900 (unfeasible)

(Feasibility for Intel Skylake Core i7 Architecture)

Quantum Computation for Mobile Networks

- **Centralized Radio Access Network architecture:** Move physical layer processing from base stations to central location (datacenter)
 - Advantage of aggregating multiple base stations' processing
- Medium-term (10 year) case for quantum computation in the datacenter
 - Goal: High performance, tractability on today and tomorrow's quantum computers
 - New collaboration with NASA Ames, engaging D-Wave and others



D-Wave 2000Q quantum annealer

Qubits and Gate Model Computation



Quantum Annealer



Quantum Annealers Solve the Ising Model

• Given graph G = (V, E) with vertex weights h_i and edge weights J_{ij} find spins $s_i = \pm 1$ on each vertex that minimize energy function

$$E(\lbrace s_i \rbrace) = \sum_{i \in V} h_i s_i + \sum_{(i,j) \in E} J_{ij} s_i s_j$$

• Example:



From bits to symbols...

 Modulate means to change. Change what? The amplitude and phase (angle) of a radio carrier signal



Digital modulation: Use only a finite set of choices (*i.e.*, symbols) for how to change the carrier and phase



From Maximum Likelihood to Ising...

$$\hat{x_i} = 2q_i - 1 \text{ and } q \in \{0, 1\}$$

$$\|\mathrm{H}\hat{\mathrm{x}}-\mathrm{y}\|^2 = igg\| egin{smallmatrix} h_{11}\hat{x_1}+h_{12}\hat{x_2}-y_1\ h_{21}\hat{x_1}+h_{22}\hat{x_2}-y_2 \end{pmatrix} \|^2$$

 $= f_1(H, y)q_1 + f_2(H, y)q_2 + f_3(H, y)q_1q_2 + constant$

Higher Order Modulations



- We cannot solve the 16-QAM problem in this way since the objective function includes higher-order polynomials:
 - e.g., $12H_{I,11}H_{I,12}q_1q_2q_5 4H_{I,11}H_{I,12}q_1q_2q_6$

This is NOT an Ising problem!

Embedding into the Quantum Annealer Graph Structure

- 1. Machine topology has only partial connectivity
 - Unit cell: complete bipartite topology (4, 4)
 - Left side connects to North, South neighbors
 - Right side connects to East, West neighbors
- 2. Only ca. 90% hardware fabrication yields, so hardware graph is a subset of above ideal topology
- Embed the problem into the hardware graph:





What's Different about Wireless?

• More machine iterations, greater likelihood of finding optimal solution:



• Metric Time-to-Solution → our new metric, Time-to-Bit Error Rate



60 users on a Fully-Occupied Base Station

60 users, 60 base station antennas



Conclusion

- End-to-end considerations
 - Quantum machine programming time
 - Quantum machine **read-out time**

In the <u>SIGCOMM 2019 paper</u>:

- Sensitivity analysis to various quantum annealer parameters
- Scaling up to higher data rates, numbers of users
- Performance under **real-world wireless channels**