COSHER

Compact Self-Healing Algorithms

Amitabh Trehan Loughborough University

www.amítabhtrehan.net www.huntforthetowel.wordpress.com



EPSRC research grant EP/P021247/1

The Internet has (almost instantaneously) taken over global communications: from 1% in the year 1993, to 51% by 2000, and more than 97% in 2007

[Hilbert et al, The worlds technological capacity to store, communicate, and compute information. Science, 2011]

Centralised: Who gets to print?

A Network



<u>Centralised Algorithms:</u> Single computer with the whole problem instance/data available.

which one of them will get the printer?

Q:

Distributed: Who gets to print?



<u>DeCentralised/</u> <u>Distributed Algorithm:</u> Multiple `computers' each with it's own local view/data. Q: which one of <u>us</u> will get the printer?

A solution: 'Leader Election'!

Token Ring Networks



Pecket-switching computer communication networks are examples of distributed systems. With the large scale emergence of mini and micro-computers, it is now possible to design special or general purpose distributed systems. However, as new problems have to be solved, new techniques and algorithms must be devised to operate such distributed systems in a satisfactory manner. In this paper, basic characteristics of distributed systems are analysed and fundamental principles and definitions are given. It is shown that distributed systems are not just simple extensions of monolithic systems. Distributed control techniques used in some planned or existing systems are presented. Finally, a formal approach to these problems is illustrated by the study of a mutual exclusion scheme intended for a distributed envi-

- Fundamental problem (Le Lann, 1977)
- Massively useful e.g. in large scale networks (P2P(Akamai), ad-hoc, sensor etc.)
- Symmetry breaking

Distributed in a `faulty/dynamic' environment: Who gets the printer?

A Printer

A Network

Q: <u>Fault-Tolerant/Dynamic Algorithms</u>: In faulty/dynamic environments printer Sespite failures or changes?

The Adversary!



- Theoretical abstraction for opponent(s), environment, internal faults and limitations
- Success against stronger adversaries => Stronger guarantees
- Allows us to reason about faulty and/or dynamic systems

Example publications vs. Adversary!

None	Edge Dyna mic	Node Dynamic (Self-healing)	Byzant ine	Game Theoretic	Memory (Self- Stablisati on)
ICDCN '13, PODC '13, J. ACM '15 J. TCS '15 (Leader Election)	DISC '12, PODC '12,	IPDPS '08, '14, PODC '08, '09, '11, INFOCOM '12, ICDCN '16, J. Dist Computing '12, '14, '16 J. TCS '16	ICDCN'11	DISC '11, INFOCOM '12 AAAI '13	

Main self-healing themes

- Resilient Distributed Architectures by Selfhealing (~P2P topology maintenance)
- Low Memory devices: Compact Routing over
 Compact Self-healing Networks ~ Internet of Things
- Under Investigation: Self-Healing Software
 Defined Networks, Social resilience (e.g. Terror networks)

Self-healing

- A self-healing system, starting from a correct state, under attack from an adversary, goes only temporarily out of a correct state.
- Under attack, maintain certain properties within acceptable bounds.

Autonomic Computing

IBM's autonomic computing initiative

Self-CHOP



Distributed Computing: Message Passing model

- Suitable for Networks!
- * Each node is a processor
- Communication is by sending messages along edges
- Only local knowledge initially
- Metrics:
 - time (# parallel rounds)
 - # messages exchanged etc.

Self-Healing Model

- Start: a distributed network G
- Attack: An adversary inserts or deletes one node per round
- Healing: After each adversary action, we add and/or drop some edges between pairs of nearby nodes, to "heal" the network

Self-Healing Illustration









Forgiving Tree*: Basic Technique

On a rooted spanning tree of G:





 Replace (non-leaf) v by a Reconstruction Tree (RT) of virtual nodes (in oval). The 'real' neighbors are the leaves of the tree.



*Efficient implementation by 'wills'

WV

*Principles of Distributed Computing (PODC) 2008

Routing under pressure....

Sometimes the messenger may have an accident ...

Problem 1a

How to Route a message from a sender u to a receiver v despite failures in the network?

Problem 1b

 How to Route a message from a sender u to a receiver v in a network of low memory nodes?

Imagine IOT/Overlay/large networks of small devices



History of 'provably good' compact routing

1985 Santoro and khatib The Computer journal

1988 Peleg and Upfal STOC

1999 Cowen 2001 Thorup andZwick

2002 Korman et al 2013 Chechik

SODA

SPAA

STACS PODC Problem 2

 How to Route a message from a sender u to a receiver v despite node failures in a network of nodes with low memory?

Problem 2a

 How to Route a message from a sender u to a receiver v despite node failures in a reconfigurable/overlay network of nodes with low memory?

Compact/ Bounded memory Selfhealing Routing

- Compact Routing Messages in Self-Healing Trees £
- Adversary is Deletion only
- Each node uses less than linear (i.e. o(n)) memory (=Compact)*,!

* Implies finite computation at individual nodes!
! Nodes cannot even store list of their neighbours!

[£]17th International Conference on Distributed Computing and Networking (ICDCN 2016); Theoretical Computer Science (Journal version), 2017

Solution: Intuition

 A compact Routing Scheme \$ (no failures)



5: Static Routing

 u wants to send a packet to v. Two pieces of information:
 v, label(v)

Information....

V

- Packet Header
- Local fields of all nodes on the path p(u,v) (i.e. `routing tables')

Solution: Intuition

- A compact Routing Scheme \$ (no failures)
- 2. If node failure, compactly self-heal by replacing node with reconstruction structure (RS) following Routing Scheme **X**.



Solution: Intuition

- A compact Routing Scheme \$\$ (no failures)
- 2. If node failure, compactly self-heal by replacing node with reconstruction structure (RS) following Routing Scheme X.
- 3. \$ + X: <u>Transparent</u> <u>integrated</u> compact routing with small **stretch...**



Our Implementations / Algorithms

- A compact Routing Scheme \$\$ (no failures)
- 2. If node failure, compactly self-heal by replacing node with reconstruction structure (RS) following Routing Scheme X.

TZ: Modified Thorup-Zwick[^] Routing over trees

COMPACTFT: Compact version of ForgivingTree*

Binary Search Tree Traversal

3. \$+X: <u>Transparent</u> <u>integrated</u> compact routing with small **stretch**. **COMPACTFTZ**: SH Compact routing

^M. Thorup and U. Zwick. Compact routing schemes. In SPAA, pages 1–10, 2001.
 *Tom Hayes, Navin Rustagi, Jared Saia and Amitabh Trehan, "The forgiving tree: a self-healing distributed data structure", in Principles of Distributed Computing (PODC), 2008.

Bringing it all together

Preprocessing (regular memory): BFS spanning tree of the network
 + DFS labelling and TZ setup + CompactFT data structure

Do forever

- 2. COMPACTFT: On node deletion, neighbours replace deleted node by its RT and maintain SH tree
- 3. 5 + 3: TZ on real nodes + BST routing on RTs (virtual nodes)

The dream:

A unified theory of 'dynamicity' in networks

 Engineering solutions despite and along with the dynamicity...

Conclusion

What can we do in practice?

- Compact routing?
- Resilience via self-healing? (e.g. Self-Healing SDN)
- Compact Self-healing routing?



Thank You